

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,524	01/27/2004	Steven Roy Lipscomb	320400-00006	1029
27160	7590 05/04/2006	OIPE	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP		LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
	ONROE STREET L 60661-3693	MAY 3 0 2006 (A)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, 011101100, 1			3711	
		RADEMARK OFFICE	DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,524	LIPSCOMB, STEVEN ROY	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Businesia II kauma	2744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Benjamin H. Layno	3711 orrespondence ao	ldress
The Mailing Date of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the ov		
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) 	lailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	•	1
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second of the proposed reply was received on	not constitute a proper reply under 37	CFR 1.113 (a) to	ne imai rejection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed	Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 	5).		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	received on (with a Certification for payment of the issue fee (an	ate of Mailing or Tr nd publication fee) s	eansmission dated set in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	 •
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 			
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 	ence rendered on and becaus ns.	e the period for see	eking court review
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:			
The Applicant's Representative informed the Exami	ner that no response was sent, a	nd the case is ab	andoned
,		Benjamin H. La	7
		Primary Examine Art Unit: 3711	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to

Organization I C I Bldg./R69M II.
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER